

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. 1:16CR24
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert
v.)	
)	
MICHAELE N. LENZY,)	<u>REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u>
)	<u>OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>
Defendant.)	

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this case was referred on May 10, 2016 to United States Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert for the purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, Defendant's offer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered. ECF Dkt. #14. The following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by Defendant.

1. On May 19, 2016, Defendant Michael N. Lenzy, accompanied by Assistant Federal Public Defender Jacqueline A. Johnson, executed a consent to referral of her case to a United States Magistrate Judge for the purpose of receiving her guilty plea.

2. Defendant Lenzy then proffered a plea of guilty to the sole count of the Superseding Indictment.

3. Prior to such proffer, Defendant Lenzy was examined as to her competency, advised of the charge and consequences of conviction, informed that the Court is not bound to apply the Federal Sentencing Guidelines but must consult the guidelines and take them into consideration when

it imposes the sentence and of the possibility of a departure from the Guidelines, notified of her rights, advised that she was waiving all of her rights except the right to counsel, and, if such were the case, her right to appeal, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. Crim. R. 11.

4. The undersigned was advised that no commitments or promises have been made by any party, and no written or unwritten agreements have been made between the parties.

5. The undersigned questioned Defendant Lenzy under oath about the knowing, intelligent and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and the undersigned believes that Defendant Lenzy's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.

6. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged offense and Defendant Lenzy's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing, and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned concludes that Defendant Lenzy's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary and all requirements imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied.

Accordingly, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty be accepted and a finding of guilty be entered by the Court as to the sole count of the Superseding Indictment.

Date: May 19, 2016

/s/George J. Limbert

George J. Limbert

United States Magistrate Judge

ANY OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of service of this notice. Fed. R. Crim. P. 59. Failure to file objections within the specified time constitutes a WAIVER of the right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.